	ase 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 1 of 22 PageID: 1	
	In the United States Court	
	for the District of New Jersey	,
	CARY LEE PETERSON RECEIVED	
	[Plaintiff] OCT 04 2018	
	18-14649 BRM AT 8:30_ LHG WILLIAM T. WALSH CLERK	
	V. LHG WALSH CLERK	
	BARVEY SCHUBERT BARER;	
	JOHN DOE	
	0. 4 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	
	PLAINTIFF CARY LEE PETERSON'S	-/
	VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR AN	1//5
	EXPARTE, EMERGENCY INJUNCTI RELIEF ORDER	VE
	RELIEF ORDER	
	Plaintiff Care las Paterson (Laurin- fla.	
	Plaintiff, Cary Lee Peterson (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), for its	
	Complaint against Défendant,	
	Garrey Schubert Barer Chereinafter	,
	referred to as "Defendant" or	
	"Co-Defendant 1") and "John Doe"	
	(hereinafter reterred to as "Co-	
	Defendant 2" or "Doe") (both	
	co-défendants hereinafter réferrel	,
	to as "Garvey" collectively) alleges	,
	as follows:	
Page	numbers are in bottom left corner	
1		
~		
7		

Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 2 of 22 PageID: 2 NATURE OF THE ACTION This is an adversary proceeding seeking an ex-parte and emergency injuctive relief including a temporary restraining order against Defendant, Garvey Schubert Barer, a law firm based in Washington, District of Columbia on 1000 Potomae Street (known to have branch offices throughout the United States) and John Doe, an unident ifed party who was is alleged in participation and concert with the Defendant per Klein's remarks in "Exhibit A", "... as I understand it [is] one of his associates at Garvey Shubert [that] filed the Federal charges against Cary [Peterson]., derived from an email chain forwarded to the Plaintiff's criminal defense attorney, Eric Marcy by the Plaintiff on or about April 12, 2017, which was initially sent as an "Original Message" by "Tom Klein" (under subject matter regarding"... Klein, Herbert, [and] KDP [Partners] v. Christopher Day [and Gregg Jackin] } a civil complaint filed at the Superior Court of Sandosky, Ohio in November 2016 against Day and Jaelin for corporate and bank wire froud, and breach of centract claims related to the "Stock Purchase Agreement and Escrow Agreement for the purported reverse-merger between RVPlus, Inc.,

Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 3 of 22 PageID: 3 and DBS Distributors, Inc. [both, RVPlus and DBS are Delaware corporations] that executed on or about April 24, 2012 following a payment of \$300,000 sent to RVPlus' attorney, Gregg Jackin per terms and conditions of the "Esscrow Agreement" [Exhibit D], which is pursuant to the [RVPlus] "Stock Purchase Agreement" [Exhibit E], an agreement between RVPlus' CEO Christopher Day and the Plaintiff, who was an appointed representative for KDP Partners in 2012), sent to Plaintiff (by Klein) on April 12, 2 Further, the Stock Purchase Agreement [Exhibit D] and Escrow Agreement
[Exhibit E] are also court exhibits
in the following cases: (A) United States v. Cary Lee Peterson (16-CR-00230-001 AET); B) SEC v. RVPlus, Inc.; Cary Lee Peterson (May 2016); (C) Cary Lee Peterson v. RVPlus, Inc; Christopher Day; American Registrar Tand Transfer Company ["ARTCO"] (3rd Cir., Del. U.S. 18-CV-704) (3)

Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 4 of 22 PageID: 4 Further, Plaintiff seeks emergency injunctive relief pursuant to Securian Financial Services, Inc. v. Treder (6th Cir., June 13, 2016) under Fed R Civ P. 65 ("Rule 65") 4 Further, the case law aforesaid resulted in the Plaintiff, Securian being granted an emergency TRC against the Defendant due to evidence "that the immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or damage will result to Plaintiff before Defendants can be heard in opposition on this issue 5 Furthermore, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant, Garrey has conducted. tort and conspiracy against Plaintiff via tortious inferference, internet/ webite hacking, cyber-bullying, cyberharassment, gross regligence, abuse of process, and malicious prosecution, which resulted in "Federal charges (as stated twice by "Klein" in Exhibit A), that more recently resulted in a criminal conviction in May 2018 in United States v. Peterson), and civil litigation in U.S. Court, District of New Jersey, SEC V. Cary Lee Peterson; RUPlus, Inc., in addition to civil investigations Election Commission ["MUR 6997"] and the Office of the U.S. Attorney for District of Columbia L"USAO-DC"

Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 5 of 22 PageID: 5 6. Further, Peterson v. RVPlus (18-CV-704, Section 119, Lines 784-818) disclose evidence of hears ay which induced Garrey's cause of action to use its political and governmental ties to willfully aid and abet a private investigator, federal law enforcement, and the U.S. Justice Department to "file federal charges" against the Plaintiff around or about March 2016, in addition to unethical and negligent communication ion between Defendentis law firm personnel and clients, a private investigator in California, and the Government regarding the Plaintiffes "FB page" [Facebook], a new political organization the Plaintiff worked for (upon being released booms on bail from detainment for criminal charges in U.S. v. Peterson), and the Plaintiffis mother Lie, "I have attached some into on her"-Frayne, stated in Exhibit A. 1 Hence, the remarks aforesaid about Plaintiff's mother, in addition to the context of Exhibit A are construed as stalking under state and federal laws on stalking and harassment, including N.J. Statute, c.20:12-10 and 47 U.S.C. 230(b), "stalking, and harassment by means of computer; also see United States v. Saunders (2003, CAAF) 59 MJ 1, 2003 CAAF, 59 MJ 122

Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 6 of 22 PageID: 6 BACKGROUND FACTS ON EXHIBIT A 8 Exhibit A of this Civil Complaint is titled "Exhibit Q" in Peterson v. RVPlus (3rd Cir., Del. u.s., 18-cv-704). Thus, Plaintiff, Peterson waives any attorney-client privilege regarding Exhibit A (also known as "Exhibit q" in Peterson v. RVPlus) 9. Exhibit A was sent to Plaintiff by Klein on April 12, 2017 following a phone call. 10. Jaclin was served with civil complaint and subpoona for Wells Fargo IOLTA trust account statements on Friday, November 25, 2016 (see Klein; Herbert; KDP V. Day; Jackin - Ohio Superior Court FID2090561, Sandusky 2016) 11 Per the Chio Court Subpoena for Jackin's Wells Fargo account records, KDP (Herbert and Klein) confirm that Plaintiff, Peterson did not receive any partion of ["Mike's"] \$300,000 [loan funds] payment for RUPIUS Stock Purchase Agreement, as private investigator, "Fragne" alleges in Exhibit A (page 6) 12. Hence, pursuant to "Exhibit s" of this Verified Civil Complaint the SEC had confirmation of the 6 "money trail" and whereabouts of

Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 7 of 22 PageID: 7 "Mike's" [meaning Michael Herbert] \$300,000 bank wire to Jackin's Wells Fargo account in August 2014, over two years before KDP. v. Jaelin civil complaint in Ohio, and almost two years before the "news article" about Plaintiff's political organization that supported [then] 2016 Presidential Candidate, Bernie Sanders, Thus, "Exhibit I" is also an exhibit in SEC v. RUPhus; Peterson United States v. Peterson, and Peterson v. RVPlus; Day; ARTCO 13. Plaintiff interviewed with a news publication in Burlington, Vermont regarding Plaintiffes political groups and FEC Committee supporting the Defendant's client, Sanders for 2016 presidential run. acknowledged Plaintiff's political support by publishing URL links to related news articles on the "Senator Bernie Sanders" webpage hested at "senate.gov". 15. Défendantes client, sanders had communication via email and phone (through Sanders' Administrative Staff) with Plaintiff between February 2015 and May 2015.

Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 9 of 22 PageID: 9 on social media sites and political websites affiliated or owned by Plaintiffes organization, Americans Socially United. 19 Defendant spoke with Plaintiff in June 2015 regarding the afore-said legal notice and the Plaintiff's political organization for Sanders cured the disputed matter and published an update on Facebook, in addition to an email to Defendant and affiliated media representatives that the disputes issues from the Bernie 2016 Cease and Desist Letter" to ASU had been cared 20. In July 2015, Plaintiff contacts Defendant to alert them that Icelebrity] "Cracg" would be centacting him as a reference for Plaintiff's pro-Sanders PAC in efforts to verify that Defendant's client, Sanders 2016 had no objections to him making a contribution for \$47300 to Plaintiff's PAC due to the fact that federal candidate's personal T compaign committees could only accept a maximum of \$2700 from a single donor under FEC regulations. Thus, Defendant's owner of Barvey, Deutsch sent on email reply stating no objections to

Craig's political contribution
to Plaintiffs PAC, in addition
to stating that he could not
be involved with "PAC" affairs
and wish Plaintiff 'good luck
on his endeavors [with proSanders PAC].

21. Plaintiff, or Plaintiff's organizations
ir or lobby firm was at no time
in any civil or cirminal litigation
at anytime for "federal
charges" (or any legal action
of any kind) related to proSanders PAC,

Hence, the AUSA of Newark, New Jersey disclosed to Plaintiffes afterney in 2017 that he was pursuing criminal prosecution against the Plaintiff for

pro-Sanders PAC.

Additionally, the Government disclosed Plaintiff's involvement with proSanders' PAC before forme U.S.

court magistrate judges hearing proceedings for USA v. Peterson;

the and one district court judge in U.S. Court District of New Jersey, who heard jury trial,

In addition, it is alleged that

the grand jury minutes from USA v. Peterson criminal case disclose details about Plaintiff's work as a political and public figure and the Plaintiff's

involvement with Pro-Sanders PAC, and the media controversy on uncharged claims against
the Plaintiff and Plaintiffs ProSanders PAC Hence, the grand jury proceedings took place around or about May 2016 when was Indictment indicted under three courts of white-coller (and securities) fraud, unrelated to the FEC, media controversy, pro-Sanders PAC, 2016 Elections, or politics (in general). 22 Hence, Plaintiff was arrested at SFO Airport upon return from Australia on March 12, 2016 for "federal charges" filed by an unknown party, or individual at the Justice Department, Thus, Plaintiff submitted a request to the court and US Attorney, in addition to FOIA-FBI before ne evidence, report, or material was produced or proffered under Federal Acts (Brady, Giglio, Jeneks, and FOIA), which leaves
the identity of "his associates" who filed "Federal charges" against Cary" [Peterson] unknown to the Plaintiff, the Justice Department, Plaintiffes criminal and civil proceedings in New Jersey.

 \overline{lv}

Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 12 of 22 PageID: 12 ABUSE OF PROCESS CLAIMS 23. Campbell v. City of Bakersfield, 2007 (E.D. Cal, Jan. 30 2007 [Exhibit K] 24. Read v. Profeta (D.N.J., Apr. 15, 2016) L'Exhibit L"] 25. Internet Billions Domain V. Venetian (C.A. No CI-5417, 2002) I'Exhibit M"7 26. Shaw v. Moore ["Exhibit N"] 27. Thus, case law set forth above (items 23, 24, 25, and 26) are pursuant to the Plaintiff's allegations against the Defendant, Barrey in this verified civil complaint 28. Defendant knew that Plaintiff was not at fault of any gross negligence, wrongful intent, or fraudulent conduct against its client, BAS, or its owners, Herbert and Klein upon the issuance of results from SEC Administrative Proceeding against Christopher Day (and his family's stock transfer in May 2016, around or about the same time Jackin was charged by the SEC, as the

The civil complaint, "Klein; Herbert;

KDP Partners v. Christopher Day;

Gregg Jaelin" filed at Ohio Superior

Court in Sandusky, Ohio (which is

the subject matter and context

of "Exhibit A") disclose no evidence,

no claims, and no direct or indured

allegations against the Plaintiff,

Cary Lee Peterson or Plaintiff's

mother who is mentioned as at a target of an unrelated investigation

and their associates in participation

and cencert with an investigation

that is not related to civil complaint

filed at Ohio Superior Court by

Garvey's client(s) based in Chio, as

discussed in Exhibit A

Further, Plaintiff alleges tortious interference, gross negligence, and abuse of process (a political tort derived from a controversial news article mentioning Plaintiff, Plaintiff's political organization (Americans Socially United), a celebrity who made a donation to Plaintiff's political organization, and the Defendant, Garvey's client, [Senator] Bernie Sanders, who was a Democratic Presidential Candidate of the 2016 Election, who Plaintiff's political organization had been supporting since a grassroots political social media group co-founded by Plaintiff (est. 2014) voted [Then Independent Party] u.s. senator

Bernie Sanders of Vermont as

the presidential candidate reminee for the grassroots political group, which induced the formation of Roady for Bernie Sanders 2016 ("Sanders PAC"), a political action committee registered with Federal Election Commission in February 2015 (three months before Sen. Bernie Sanders announced his official candida. cy for presidency under the Demo cratic Party), The aforementioned "news article" about Plaintiff's political organization, Defendant's client, "Sanders", and a popular celebrity who made a denation to Plaintiff's organization who supported "Sanders" was published by Center for Publice Integrity around or about September 10, 2015. Hence, the Defendant, its owner, "Deutsch", and another attorney worked for Garvey share the URL link to the controversial news article containing libelous and defaming centent about Plaintiff, making uncharged allegations that the Plaintiff's political organization "duped James Bond" [referring to Hollywood actor, Daniel Craig who plays the character "James Bond" in the James Bond movie series], as shown in Exhibit B". In addition, Barrey's attorney, "Krasnow" forwards the news article about Plaintiff's "Klein", who is also a [mutual]

Hence, the Stock Purchase Agreement and Escrow Agreement between KDP Partners, RVPlus, and Anslow and Jackin LLP was breached shertly after Herbert's [Toan] funds were sent to Jaelin on behalf of Plaintiff, Peterson, who personally guaranteed repayment of the KDP loan to Herbert under written agreement. Additionally, Jackin issued public disclesures of a purported "reverse-merger", "license agreement", and "change in control and management" of RVPhus, Inc. (who was under management and control by [CEO, CFO, Corperate Officer, and Director T Christopher Day) on the EDGAR System for the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") via a licensed EDGAR filing agent located in Monmouth County New Jersey In 2013, the Plaintiff reports various RVP/us related disputes and complaints to the SEC, FINRA, and files a civil complaint against a RVPlus shareholder, who was friends with Plaintiff's mother for unvelated domestic matters. [see Peterson v. Valento, Scottsdale City Court, AZ -20137 In July 2013, the SEC suspended trading of RVPlus for civil disputes and the Plaintiff, Peterson began communication with the SEC in efforts to prevent exposure to legal and financial liabilities, and civil and criminal action against me his company, "ECCO2 Corp?", "DBS Distributors,"

(17

Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 19 of 22 PageID: 19 In close, factors weigh in Plaintiff's favor particularly the fact that the Plaintiff faces irreparable harm without injuctive relief while the Defendant will not be exposed to any substantial harm if a temperary restaining order is granted. Further, the Plaintiff has evidenced through exhibits of this verified complaint that the immediate and irreparable injury, loss, or .

damage will result to Plaintiff
before Defendants can be heard in opposition on this issue, as Plaintiff, Peterson is scheduled to appear at U.S. District Court of New Jersey on October 3, 2018 for senteneing related to "Federal charges" filed by Defendant, Garvey, or a third-party in participation and concert with the Defendant, who remains unknown to the Plaintiff or the Court hearing proceedings for Plaintiffes criminal case that the Plaintiff alleges were induced by conspiracy, tort by abuse of process, tortious naticious presecution conduct by the Defendant, Garrey, Failure to issue a TRO would result in irreparable harm to
Plaintiff, such an injustion would Case 3:18-cv-14649-BRM-LHG Document 1 Filed 10/04/18 Page 20 of 22 PageID: 20 not cause substantial harm to others. Additionally, an order for injustive relief against the Defendants would not substantially harm the Defendant, Garrey's employees, or corperate officers Additionally, Plaintiffer alleges in this verified civil complaint theat its reputation in the industry has suffered and will be damaged more if the Defendant (or an "associate" of the Defendant willful interferes, influences, or abets Parties (or representative of Parties) or the Court, or the government, who is involved in related civil investigations
against (or involving) the Plaintiff
regarding any political works
conducted between 2014 through 2018. Hence, allegations made within this verified complaint could result in irreversible loss damage, and irreparable harm pursuant to "Rule 65". [End of Page]

State of New Jersey The undersigned, as the Plaintiff LPro Se T, and states the following to be true under the penalties of perjury: The Plaintiff has read and knows the contents of the foregoing Verified Complaint for Exparte, Emergency Injustive Relief; that the same is true to the knewledge of the affirmant except as to the matter therein stated to be alleged on information and belief and that as to those matters he believes it to be true. Cary Lee Peterson Date Opn se] Mailing Address A: Cary Petersen 1# 43903 - MCC/

1#43903-MCCI 1 Waterworks Rd Freehold, NJ 07728

Mailing Address B:

Power-of-Attorney
Loc Cary Peterson
LOC Campus Twn Cir
Ste # 103, Box 2038
Ewing, NJ 08638

Aden Shada

NOTE: Please excuse grammatical errors and penmanship on this handwritten legal brief draft from detention center,

(22)